

1 Steven R. Blackburn, State Bar No. 154797
2 Leslie J. Mann, State Bar No. 95467
3 Andrew J. Sommer, State Bar No. 192844
4 EPSTEIN BECKER & GREEN, P.C.
5 One California Street, 26th Floor
6 San Francisco, California 94111-5427
Telephone: 415.398.3500
Facsimile: 415.398.0955
SBlackburn@ebglaw.com
LMann@ebglaw.com
ASommer@ebglaw.com

7 Attorneys for Defendant
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

13 DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT
14 AND HOUSING, an agency of the State of
California,

15 Plaintiff, and

16 STEVEN J. CARAUDDO

17 Plaintiff-Intervenor

V.

18 LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and
19 DOES 1 through 20,

20 Defendants.

CASE NO.: 3:07-cv-03747-PJH

**DECLARATION OF LESLIE J. MANN
IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT LUCENT
TECHNOLOGIES INC.'S OBJECTION
TO THE TRAVIS GATUS
DECLARATION SUBMITTED BY
PLAINTIFFS IN OPPOSITION TO ITS
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE PARTIAL
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Hearing Date: November 19, 2008
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom: C, 15th Floor
The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton

22 I, Leslie J. Mann, declare:

23 1. I am a Senior Attorney with the law firm of Epstein Becker and Green, P.C.,
24 counsel of record for Lucent Technologies Inc. (“Defendant”) in this matter. I am an active
25 member in good standing of the Bar of the State of California. I am making this declaration in
26 support of Defendant’s objections to Plaintiffs’ evidence offered in opposition to its Motion for
27 Summary Judgment or in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment. If called as a witness in

this action, I could and would competently testify to the following facts, based on my own personal knowledge.

2. Attached as Exhibits 1-6 are true and correct copy of the initial and supplemental disclosures served by Plaintiff Department of Fair Employment and Housing and Plaintiff-Intervenor Steven Caraudo (collectively “Plaintiffs”) in this action. After reviewing these initial and supplemental disclosures, as well as Plaintiffs’ respective responses to Lucent’s written discovery, I have determined that Plaintiffs never identified Travis Gatus as a potential witness in support of their claims. The discovery responses are not attached due to their length.

3. Plaintiffs' submission of Mr. Gatus' declaration in opposition to Lucent's Motion for Summary Judgment –after having failed to identify him as a witness in their disclosures or discovery responses –has severely prejudiced Lucent. Plaintiffs have deprived Lucent of the opportunity to examine this witness to assess the extent of his personal knowledge on matters in controversy in this case and to therefore determine the veracity and accuracy of the assertions made in his declaration.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on this 18th day of November, 2008, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Leslie J. Mann
Leslie J. Mann